NOBLE STATE BANK *v.* HASKELL.

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE PETITION FOR REHEARING.

No. 71. Submitted January 27, 1911.—Decided February 20, 1911.

Motion for leave to file petition for rehearing in *Noble State Bank* v. *Haskell*, *ante*, p. 104, denied.

Even where powerful arguments can be made against the wisdom of legislation this court can say nothing, as it is not concerned therewith.

Among the public uses for which private property may be taken are some which, if looked at only in their immediate aspect according to the approximate effect of the taking, may seem to be private. *Clark* v. *Nash*, 198 U. S. 361; *Strickley* v. *Highland Boy Mining Co.*, 200 U. S. 527.

Payments required by a bank guarantee statute which can be avoided by going out of the banking business, and are required only as a condition for keeping on in such business from corporations created by the State, do not amount to a taking of private property without compensation or a deprivation of property without due process of law; and so *held* as to the Oklahoma Bank Guarantee statute heretofore sustained as to its constitutionality, *ante*, p. 104.

THE facts are stated in the opinion.

Mr. C. B. Ames for plaintiff in error:

Counsel hardly presumes to expect this court to reconsider a conclusion reached by unanimous agreement, but respectfully suggests that the opinion is based on an